

990

# IDS versus Direct File Access to Storage

Rolf Krahl

ICAT Meeting, Diamond Light Source, March 2015

#### Why Direct File Access?

- IDS might turn out to be a bottleneck when many beam lines need to upload their data.
- There is some overhead in the internal processing in IDS: each uploaded file hits the disk three times.
- Need to access the files for analysis. Download and unpack the ZIP to local disk each time is cumbersome and not very efficient.

#### Issues with Direct File Access?

- Need meaningful file and directory names.
- Concurrent and possibly conflicting file access.
- Permissions.
- Here: concentrate on concurrent file access.
- Assume an IDS with two-level storage and storage unit dataset.
- Use file locking to prevent conflicts.
- Consider some practical use cases.

- File locking can be done in the storage plugin, even without modification of the IDS server.
- Use fcntl type file locking.
- For ArchiveFileStorage: acquire a shared lock on the ZIP file in method get().
- For MainFileStorage, we would need to lock the entire dataset directory. Place a designated lock file for each dataset directory in its parent directory. Stille use fcntl file locking on this lock file.
  - acquire a shared lock in get() method.
  - acquire an exclusive lock in (each) put() and delete() methods.

Use case: ingest a new dataset by writing the ZIP file directly into archive storage and creating all objects in ICAT.

#### Possible conflicts

- IDS could write the same ZIP file at the same time.
- IDS could try to restore a partly written ZIP file, leaving main storage in an inconsistent state.

# Solution

The ingestor uses the following procedure (order is important):

- Overify that the dataset directory in main storage does not exist.
- Open the ZIP in archive storage as a new file (use O\_CREAT and O\_EXCL flags) for writing and acquire an exclusive file lock on it.
- Oreate the dataset including the datafiles in a single call (cascading) in ICAT.
- Write the ZIP file.
- Solution Close the ZIP file (which implicitly releases the lock).

Notes:

- Efficient: each file is only written to disk once.
- If the procedure fails in any of the first three steps, nothing serious has happend yet, worst case is leaving a spurious zero size ZIP file behind.
- If the creation of the dataset in step three succeeds, IDS will consider it as non-empty dataset in ARCHIVED state.
- In this case, any concurrent action in IDS on the dataset will first trigger a RESTORE, which in turn will be blocked until the file lock is released. ⇒ no access conflict can occur.

Use case: ingest datafiles to an existing dataset by writing the individual datafiles into main storage, creating all objects in ICAT and inciting IDS to create the ZIP in archive storage.

# Possible conflicts

- Concurrent upload of files via IDS API could overwrite currently ingested files.
- An ARCHIVE operation could delete all files before the ZIP is created in archive storage.

#### **Tentative Solution**

The ingestor uses the following procedure:

- Verify that the dataset is ONLINE and the directory in main storage does exist.
- 2 Acquire an exclusive lock on the dataset directory.
- Write the files and create the Datafile objects in ICAT.
- Release the lock.
- Trigger a WRITE operation in IDS to create the archive file.

Notes:

- Simple and convenient: one could mount the dataset directory directly at the experiment and write directly into it.
- There is no IDS call to trigger the WRITE operation, can be forced crabwise though.
- Unfortunately: still not safe. There is no way to guarantee that the WRITE operation will be executed before a possible concurrent ARCHIVE operation. ⇒ show stopper.

Use case: read only access to the files of a dataset in main storage, such as doing analysis.

# Possible conflicts

- Deleting individual files via IDS API while working on them.
- An ARCHIVE operation could delete all files while working on them.

# Solution

Use the following procedure:

- Make sure the dataset is ONLINE.
- 2 Lock the dataset directory.
- Work on the files.
- Release the lock.

Notes:

- Read only access is as simple as this. No further cooperation from the IDS server is needed.
- More efficient then downloading the files via IDS API.
- Works also if the storage is mounted read only.

- With sufficient precautions, direct file access to the storage concurrently to the IDS server can be made safe.
- File locking can be implemented in the storage plugin, without need to modify the IDS server.
- Works for two relevant use cases: write access to archive storage and read only access to main storage.
- Write access to main storage cannot easily be made safe this way.
- Somewhat inefficient: acquiring and releasing the lock for each single file access from IDS.
- Further improvement would require changes in the IDS server and to the plugin API.